

## Lacerta oertzeni

Taxonomic Authority: Werner, 1904

Synonyms:

Region: 8

Common Names:

Order: Sauria

Family: Lacertidae

Notes on taxonomy:

### General Information

Biome  Terrestrial  Freshwater  Marine

#### Geographic Range of species:

This species is present in western and southern Anatolia, Turkey and on the Greek islands of Ikaria, Nisyros, Symi and Rhodes.

#### Habitat and Ecology Information:

This species is found in Mediterranean-type habitats, rocky areas (including coastal sites), traditionally cultivated areas and orchards. It is an egg-laying species.

#### Conservation Measures:

It is present in protected areas in both Turkey and Greece. Further research is needed into the taxonomic status, distribution and threats to this species.

#### Threats:

While there appear to be no major threats to this species, it is known to be declining on Rhodes. The reasons for this decline are currently unknown.

#### Species population information:

It is generally common in Turkey, and while it is declining on the island of Rhodes, it remains common on the surrounding islands.

### Country Distribution

	Native - Presence Confirmed	Native - Presence Possible	Extinct	Reintroduced	Introduced	Vagrant
Greece	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Turkey	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### FAO Marine Habitats

Native - Presence Confirmed	Native - Presence Possible	Extinct	Reintroduced	Introduced
-----------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------	--------------	------------

### Major Lakes

### Major Rivers

### Upper Level Habitat Preferences

Score

3.8	Shrubland - Mediterranean-type Shrubby Vegetation	1
6	Rocky areas (eg. inland cliffs, mountain peaks)	1
10.1	Coastline - Rocky Shores (includes rocky offshore islands and sea cliffs)	1
11.2	Artificial/Terrestrial - Pastureland	1
11.3	Artificial/Terrestrial - Plantations	1
11.4	Artificial/Terrestrial - Rural Gardens	2

### Lower Level Habitat Preferences

Score

### Major threats

Code	Description of threat	Past	Present	Future
12	Unknown	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

### Conservation Measures

Code	Conservation measures	In place	Needed
3	Research actions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3.1	Taxonomy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3.2	Population numbers and range	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3.3	Biology and Ecology	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3.4	Habitat status	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3.5	Threats	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4	Habitat and site-based actions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.4	Protected areas	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.4.2	Establishment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Utilisation of Species

Purpose/Type of Use	Subsistence	National	International	Other purpose:	
Primary forms removed from the wild	100%	>75%	51-75%	26-50% <25%	Other forms removed from the wild:
Source of specimens in commercial trade	100%	>75%	51-75%	26-50% <25%	Other source of specimens:

**Trend in wild offtake/harvest in relation to total wild population numbers over last five years:**

**Trend in offtake/harvest produced through domestication/cultivation over last five years:**

**CITES:**

---

### **Red Listing**

**Red List Assessment:** Least Concern (LC)

Possibly Extinct

**Red List Criteria:**

**Rationale for the Red List Assessment:** Listed as Least Concern in view of its wide distribution, presumed large population, and because it is unlikely to be declining fast enough to qualify for listing in a more threatened category.

**Current Population Trend:** Stable

**Date of Assessment:** 12/17/2004

**Assessor(s):** Wolfgang Böhme, Petros Lymberakis, Varol Tok, Ishmail Ugurtas, Murat Sevinç, Pierre-André Crochet

**Notes on Red listing:**

---

### **Bibliography**

Arnold, E.N., 2003, , Reptiles and amphibians of Europe., , 288 pp., Princeton University Press., Princeton and Oxford.

Baran, I., Kumlutas, Y., Olgun, K., Ilgaz, C. and Kaska, Y., 2001, The Herpetofauna of the Vicinity of Silifke., Turkish Journal of Zoology, , , 25:, 245-249, ,

Kasperek, M., 1990, Zur Herpetofauna des Beckens von Köycegiz, Türkei (Dalyan-Region)., Salamandra, , , 26(2/3):, 155-164, ,

Tok, C.V., 1999, Resadiye (Datça) Yarımadası Kertenkeleleri Hakkında (Gekkonidae, Agamidae, Chamaeleonidae, Lacertidae, Scincidae, Amphisbaenidae)., Turkish Journal of Zoology, , , 23(1):, 157-175, ,

Baran, I. and Atatür, M.K., 1998, , Turkish herpetofauna (amphibians and reptiles), , , Republic of Turkey Ministry of Environment, Ankara

Eiselt, v. J. and Schmidler, J.F., 1986, Dear Lacerta danfordi-Komplex (Reptilia: Lacertidae)., Spixiana, , , 9(3), 289-328, ,