Taxonomic Authority: (Linnaeus, 1758)  Synonyms:  Common Names:  European Tree Frog English Obyknovennaya Kvaksha Russian raganella comune Italian Rainette Verte French Ranita de San Antón Spanish Rzekolka Drzewna Polish Polish Pamily: Hylidae  Notes on taxonomy: Hyla arborea is the central member of the Hyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asaltat tree frogs.  General Information  Blome Presence Rainea de San Antón Spanish Rzekolka Drzewna Polish Preshwater Habitat and Ecology Information: This is a widespread Palearcitic species cocurring from Iberia (where there are scattered populations within its range) and France, eastwards to western Russia and the Caucasian region, and southwards to the Balkans and Turkey (except extreme eastern, southeastern and southern parks). It is mostly absent from Scandinavia (except southern and eastern Dennark and extreme southern Sweden), and has been introduced to the UK (New Forest) but is now thought to be extinct there and is not mapped. This is a lowiand species that has been recorded at a maximum altitude (in Europe) of 2,300m asl. (Bulgaria), although this requires reconfirmation.  Conservation Measures:  It is listed on Appendix II of the Berne Convention and is listed on Annex IV of the EU Natural Habitats Directive. The species is protected by national legislation in many countries, it is recorded on many national and sub-national Red Data books and lists and it is present in many protected areas. It has been reintroduced to Latvia (Gaua National Park, Rigo District) in 1987-1992 from Belarus and from captive bred individuals raised at Riga Zoo. Further research into the distribution (impts of this species is southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species is northern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to a through the proposal proposal proposal proposal proposal proposal proposal proposal presearch into the capture of the proposal proposal proposal propos										
Synonyms:    Common Names:   European Tree Frog   English	Hvla arborea			Region: 10	)					
European Tree Frog English Obyknovennaya Kvaksha Russian raganella comune Italian Rainette Verte French Ranta de San Antón Spanish Rzekolta Drzewna Polish Family: Hylidae Notes on taxonomy: Hyla arborea is the central member of the Hyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asiatic tree frogs.    General Information		(Linnaeus, 1758)								
Obyknovennaya Kvaksha raganella comune Italian raganella comune Rainette Verte French Ranita de San Antón Spanish Rayekotka Drzewna Polish  Order: Anura Piyla arborea is the central member of the Hyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asiatic tree frogs.    Seneral Information   Piyla arborea is the central member of the Hyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asiatic tree frogs.    Seneral Information   Piyla arborea is the central member of the Hyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asiatic tree frogs.    Seneral Information   Piyla arborea is the central member of the Hyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asiatic tree frogs.    Seneral Information   Piyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asiatic tree frogs.    Seneral Information   Piyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asiatic tree frogs.    Seneral Information   Piyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asiatic tree frogs.    Seneral Information   Piyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asiatic tree frogs.    Seneral Information   Piyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asiatic tree frogs.    Seneral Information   Piyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asiatic tree frogs.    Habitat and Ecology Information:	Synonyms:			Common Nam	es:					
raganella comune Rainette Verte French Ranita de San Antón Spanish Rzekotka Drzewna Polish  Order: Anura  Notes on taxonomy: Hyla arborea is the central member of the Hyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asiatic tree frogs.  Geographic Range of species:  This is a widespread Paleardtic species occurring from Iberia (where there are scattered populations within its range) and France, eastwards to western Russia and the Caucasian region, and southwards to the Balkans and Trukey (except textmen eastern southwastern and southern parts). It is mostly absent from Scandinavia (except southern and eastern Denmark and extreme southern Sweden), and has been introduced to the UK (New Forest) but is now thought to be extinct there and is not mapped. This is a lowland species that has been recorded at a maximum altitude (in Europe) of 2,300m asl. (Bulgaria), although this requires reconfirmation.  Conservation Measures:  It is listed on Annex IV of the EU Natural Habitats Directive. The species is protected by national legislation in many countries, it is recorded on many national and sub-national Red Data books and form captive bred individuals raised at Riga Zoo. Further research into the distribution limits of this species in southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species range, militigation measures to reduce road kill have been established.  Species population information:  Native - Presence Presence Complex (Sonthard Confirmed Presence Confi				European Tree	Frog	English				
Rainette Verte Ranita de San Antón Spanish Rezkotka Drzewna Polish  Order: Anura  Notes on taxonomy: Hyla arborea is the central member of the Hyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asiatic tree frogs.    Family: Hylidae				Obyknovennay	a Kvaksha	Russian				
Order: Anura  Notes on taxonomy: Hyla arborea is the central member of the Hyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asiatic tree frogs.    Family: Hylidae   Family				raganella comu	ine	Italian				
Order: Anura  Notes on taxonomy: Hyla arborea is the central member of the Hyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asiatic tree frogs.    General Information				Rainette Verte		French				
Notes on taxonomy: Hyla arborea is the central member of the Hyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asiatic tree frogs.    General Information				Ranita de San	Antón	Spanish				
Notes on taxonomy: Hyla arborea is the central member of the Hyla arborea complex, which includes several species of European and Asiatic tree frogs.    General Information   Freshwater   Marine				Rzekotka Drze	wna	Polish				
General Information Biome    Terrestrial   Freshwater   Marine	Order: Anura			Family: H	ylidae					
Geographic Range of species:  This is a widespread Palearctic species occurring from Iberia (where there are scattered populations within its range) and France, eastwards to western Russia and the Caucasian region, and southwards to the Balkans and Turkey (except extreme eastern, southeastern and southern parts). It is mostly absent from Scandinavia (except southern and eastern Denmark and extreme southern Sweden), and has been introduced to the LUK (New Forest) but is now thought to be extinct there and is not mapped. This is a lowland species that has been recorded at a maximum altitude (in Europe) of 2,300m asl. (Bulgaria), although this requires reconfirmation.  Conservation Measures:  It is listed on Appendix II of the Berne Convention and is listed on Annex IV of the EU Natural Habitats Directive. The species is protected by national legislation in many countries, it is recorded on many national and sub-national Red Data books and lists and it is present in many protected areas. It has been reintroduced to Latvia (Gaua National Park, Riga District) in 1987-1992 from Belarus and from captive bred individuals raised at Riga Zoo. Further research into the distribution limits of this species in southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog, 1995).  Paresence Confirmed  Native - Presence Confirmed	Notes on taxonomy:		nber of the Hyla	arborea comple	x, which includes	several species of European and				
Geographic Range of species:  This is a widespread Palearctic species occurring from Iberia (where there are scattered populations within its range) and France, eastwards to western Russia and the Caucasian region, and southwards to the Balkans and Turkey (except extreme eastern, southeastern and southern parts). It is mostly absent from Scandinavia (except southern and eastern Denmark and extreme southern Sweden), and has been introduced to the LUK (New Forest) but is now thought to be extinct there and is not mapped. This is a lowland species that has been recorded at a maximum altitude (in Europe) of 2,300m asl. (Bulgaria), although this requires reconfirmation.  Conservation Measures:  It is listed on Appendix II of the Berne Convention and is listed on Annex IV of the EU Natural Habitats Directive. The species is protected by national legislation in many countries, it is recorded on many national and sub-national Red Data books and lists and it is present in many protected areas. It has been reintroduced to Latvia (Gaua National Park, Riga District) in 1987-1992 from Belarus and from captive bred individuals raised at Riga Zoo. Further research into the distribution limits of this species in southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog, 1995).  Paresence Confirmed  Native - Presence Confirmed  Native - Presence Confirmed  Native - Presence Confirmed  Native - Presence Confirmed	General Information	n								
Geographic Range of species: This is a widespread Palearctic species occurring from Iberia (where there are scattered populations within its range) and France, eastwards to western Russia and the Caucasian region, and southwards to the Balkans and Turkey (except extreme eastern, southeastern and southern parts). It is mostly absent from Scandinavia (except southern and eastern Denmark and extreme southern Sweden), and has been introduced to the UK (New Forest) but is now thought to be extinct there and is not mapped. This is a lowland species that has been recorded at a maximum altitude (in Europe) of 2,300m asl. (Bulgaria), although this requires reconfirmation.  Conservation Measures:  It is listed on Appendix II of the Berne Convention and is listed on Annex IV of the EU Natural Habitats Directive. The species is protected by national legislation in many countries, it is recorded on many national and sub-national Red Data books and lists and it is present in many protected areas. It has been reintroduced to Latvia (Gaua National Park, Riga District) in 1987-1992 from Belarus and from captive bred individuals raised at Riga Zoo. Further research into the distribution limits of this species in southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species is common in suitable habitats in parts of tits reaped, entire the presence been established.  Species population information:  Nhile the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog. 1995).  Native - Presence Confirmed  Native - Presenc			<b>✓</b> Fi	reshwater	☐ Marine					
This is a widespread Palearctic species occurring from Iberia (where there are scattered populations within its range) and France, eastwards to westerm Russia and the Caucasian region, and southwards to the Balkans and Turkey (except extreme eastern, southeastern and southern parts). It is mostly absent from Scandinavia (except southern and eastern Denmark and extreme southern Sweden), and has been introduced to the UK (New Forest) but is now thought to be extinct there and is not mapped. This is a lowland species that has been recorded at a maximum altitude (in Europe) of 2,300m asl. (Bugaria), although this requires reconfirmation.  Conservation Measures:  It is listed on Appendix II of the Berne Convention and is listed on Annex IV of the EU Natural Habitats Directive. The species is protected by national legislation in many countries, it is recorded on many national and sub-national Red Data books and lists and it is present in many protected areas. It has been reintroduced to Latvia (Gaua National Park, Riga District) in 1987-1992 from Belarus and from captive bred individuals raised at Riga Zoo. Further research into the distribution limits of this species in southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species range, mitigation measures to reduce road kill have been established.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog, 1995).  Native - Presence Confirmed  Native - Pre										
to western Russia and the Caucasian region and southwards to the Balkans and Turkey (except extreme eastern, southeastern and such the parts). It is mostly absent from Scandinavia (except southern and eastern Denmark and extreme southern Sweden), and has been introduced to the UK (New Forest) but is now thought to be extinct there and is not mapped. This is a lowland species that has been recorded at a maximum altitude (in Europe) of 2,300m asl. (Bulgaria), although this requires reconfirmation.  **Conservation Measures:** It is listed on Appendix II of the Berne Convention and is listed on Annex IV of the EU Natural Habitats Directive. The species is protected by national legislation in many countries, it is recorded on many protected areas. It has been reintroduced to Latvia (Gaua National Park, Riga District) in 1987-1992 from Belarus and from another parts), and the drainage and pollution of wetlands (industrial and agricultural) and predatory fish species. These impacts on metapopulation have led to declines in parts of Europe, and possible local declines in Turkey. The species is collected for the pet trade, and in some parts of its range (western Europe) this might be leading to local population declines.  **Presence Confirmed**  **Native - Presence Confirmed*	• •		eria (where							
Balkans and Turkey (except extreme eastern, southeastern and southern parts). It is mostly absent from Scandinavai (except southern and eastern Denmark and extreme southern Sweden), and has been introduced to the UK (New Forest) but is now thought to be extinct there and is not mapped. This is a lowland species that has been recorded at a maximum altitude (in Europe) of 2,300m asl. (Bulgaria), although this requires reconfirmation.  Conservation Measures:  It is listed on Appendix II of the Berne Convention and is listed on Annex IV of the EU Natural Habitats Directive. The species is protected by national legislation in many countries, it is recorded on many national and sub-national Red Data books and lists and it is present in many protected areas. It has been reintroduced to Latvia (Gaua Nanex IV of the EU Natural Habitats Directive. The species is protected by national Park, Riga District) in 1987-1992 from Belarus and from captive bred individuals raised at Riga Zoo. Further research into the distribution limits of this species in southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species range, mitigation measures to reduce road kill have been established.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog., 1995).  Native - Presence Confirmed  Native - Presence Confirme										
southern parts). It is mostly absent from Scandinavia (except southern and eastern Denmark and extreme southern Sweden), and has been introduced to the UK (New Forest) but is now thought to be extinct there and is not mapped. This is a lowland species that has been recorded at a maximum altitude (in Europe) of 2,300m asl. (Bulgaria), although this requires reconfirmation.  Conservation Measures:  It is listed on Appendix II of the Berne Convention and is listed on Annex IV of the EU Natural Habitats Directive. The species is protected by national legislation in many countries, it is recorded on many national and sub-national Red Data books and lists and it is present in many protected areas. It has been reintroduced to Latvia (Gaua National Park, Riga District) in 1987-1992 from Belarus and from captive bred individuals raised at Riga Zoo. Further research into the distribution limits of this species in southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species range, mitigation measures to reduce road kill have been established.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog. 1995).  Native - Presence Confirmed  Native - Presence Confirmed  Presence Confirmed  Native - Presence Confirmed  Presence Confirmed  Native - Presence Confirmed		_								
introduced to the UK (New Forest) but is now thought to be extinct there and is not mapped. This is a lowland species that has been recorded at a maximum altitude (in Europe) of 2,300m asl. (Bulgaria), although this requires reconfirmation.  Conservation Measures:  It is listed on Appendix II of the Berne Convention and is listed on Annex IV of the EU Natural Habitats Directive. The species is protected on many national legislation in many countries, it is recorded on many national and sub-national Red Data books and lists and it is present in many protected areas. It has been reintroduced to Latvia (Gaua National Park, Riga District) in 1987-1992 from Belarus and from captive bred individuals raised at Riga Zoo. Further research into the distribution limits of this species in southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species range, mitigation measures to reduce road kill have been established.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported from anthropogenic landscapes, including large cities (e.g., Kiev). It sometimes occurs in sympatry with Hyla meridionalis (and produces infertile hybrids).  Threats:  The species is quite sensitive to changes in habitat, including loss and fragmentation of forests, bush groves and meadows (with the isolation of forests, bush groves and meadows (with the isolation of oppulations), and the drainage and pollution of wetlands (industrial and agricultural) and predatory fish species. These impacts on metapopulations have led to declines in Turkey. The species is collected for the pet trade, and in some parts of its range (western Europe) this might be leading to local population declines.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog. 1995).  Native - Presence Confirmed  Presence Presence Confirmed										
there and is not mapped. This is a lowland species that has been recorded at a maximum altitude (in Europe) of 2,300m asl. (Bulgaria), although this requires reconfirmation.  Conservation Measures:  It is listed on Appendix II of the Berne Convention and is listed on Annex IV of the EU Natural Habitats Directive. The species is protected by national legislation in many countries, it is recorded on many national and sub-national Red Data books and lists and it is present in many protected areas. It has been reintroduced to Latvia (Gaua National Park, Riga District) in 1987-1992 from Belarus and from captive bred individuals raised at Riga Zoo. Further research into the distribution limits of this species in southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species range, mitigation measures to reduce road kill have been established.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1995).  Native - Presence Confirmed										
recorded at a maximum altitude (in Europe) of 2,300m asl. (Bulgaria), although this requires reconfirmation.  Conservation Measures: It is listed on Appendix II of the Berne Convention and is listed on Annex IV of the EU Natural Habitats Directive. The species is protected by national legislation in many countries, it is recorded on many national and sub-national Red Data books and lists and it is present in many protected areas. It has been reintroduced to Latvia (Gaua National Park, Riga District) in 1987-1992 from Belarus and from captive bred individuals raised at Riga Zoo. Further research into the distribution limits of this species in southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species range, mitigation measures to reduce road kill have been established.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog, 1995).  Native - Presence Confirmed  Native - Presence Confirmed  Native - Presence Confirmed  Presence Confirmed  Red Data Dooks and lists and it is present in the fragmentation of forests, bush groves and meadows (with the isolation of populations), and the drainage and pollution of wetlands (industrial and agricultural) and predatory fish species. These impacts on metapopulations have led to declines in Turkey. The species is collected for the pet trade, and in some parts of its range (western Europe) this might be leading to local population declines.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog, 1995).	•	,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Conservation Measures:  It is listed on Appendix II of the Berne Convention and is listed on Annex IV of the EU Natural Habitats Directive. The species is protected by national legislation in many countries, it is recorded on many national and sub-national Red Data books and lists and it is present in many protected areas. It has been reintroduced to Latvia (Gaua National Park, Riga District) in 1987-1992 from Belarus and from captive bred individuals raised at Riga Zoo. Further research into the distribution limits of this species in southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species range, mitigation measures to reduce road kill have been established.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog, 1995).  Native - Presence Confirmed  Possible  Threats:  The species is quite sensitive to changes in habitat, including loss and fragmentation of forests, bush groves and meadows (with the isolation of populations), and the drainage and pollution of wetlands (industrial and agricultural) and predatory fish species. These impacts on metapopulations have led to declines in Turkey. The species is collected for the pet trade, and in some parts of its range (western Europe) this might be leading to local population declines.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog. 1995).  Rative - Presence Pres	recorded at a maximum a	altitude (in Europe) of 2,300m as		(and produces	infertile hybrids).					
It is listed on Appendix II of the Berne Convention and is listed on Annex IV of the EU Natural Habitats Directive. The species is protected by national legislation in many countries, it is recorded on many national and sub-national Red Data books and lists and it is present in many protected areas. It has been reintroduced to Latvia (Gaua National Park, Riga District) in 1987-1992 from Belarus and from captive bred individuals raised at Riga Zoo. Further research into the distribution limits of this species in southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species range, mitigation measures to reduce road kill have been established.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog, 1995).  Native - Presence Presence Confirmed Possible  Native - Presence Presence Confirmed Possible	•			Th						
Annex IV of the EU Natural Habitats Directive. The species is protected by national legislation in many countries, it is recorded on many national and sub-national Red Data books and lists and it is present in many protected areas. It has been reintroduced to Latvia (Gaua National Park, Riga District) in 1987-1992 from Belarus and from captive bred individuals raised at Riga Zoo. Further research into the distribution limits of this species in southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species range, mitigation measures to reduce road kill have been established.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog, 1995).  Native - Presence Presence Confirmed  Native - Presence Presence Confirmed  Possible  Fatinct Reintroduced Introduced Vagrant			listed on		quito consitivo to	changes in habitat including loss and				
by national legislation in many countries, it is recorded on many national and sub-national Red Data books and lists and it is present in many protected areas. It has been reintroduced to Latvia (Gaua National Park, Riga District) in 1987-1992 from Belarus and from captive bred individuals raised at Riga Zoo. Further research into the distribution limits of this species in southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species range, mitigation measures to reduce road kill have been established.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog, 1995).  Native - Presence Confirmed  Native - Presence Confirmed  Possible  of populations), and the drainage and pollution of wetlands (industrial and agricultural) and predatory fish species. These impacts on metapopulations have led to declines in parts of Europe, and possible local declines in Turkey. The species is collected for the pet trade, and in some parts of its range (western Europe) this might be leading to local population declines.  Native - Presence Extinct Reintroduced Introduced Vagrant  Country Distribution										
many protected areas. It has been reintroduced to Latvia (Gaua National Park, Riga District) in 1987-1992 from Belarus and from captive bred individuals raised at Riga Zoo. Further research into the distribution limits of this species in southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species range, mitigation measures to reduce road kill have been established.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog, 1995).  Native - Presence Presence Confirmed  Native - Presence Presence Presence Possible  metapopulations have led to declines in parts of Europe, and possible local declines in Turkey. The species is collected for the pet trade, and in some parts of its range (western Europe) this might be leading to local population declines.  Metapopulations have led to declines in parts of Europe, and possible local declines in Turkey. The species is collected for the pet trade, and in some parts of its range (western Europe) this might be leading to local population declines.  Native - Native - Presence Extinct Reintroduced Introduced Vagrant  Country Distribution	by national legislation in	many countries, it is recorded on	many	of populations), and the drainage and pollution of wetlands (industrial						
National Park, Riga District) in 1987-1992 from Belarus and from captive bred individuals raised at Riga Zoo. Further research into the distribution limits of this species in southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species range, mitigation measures to reduce road kill have been established.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog, 1995).  Native - Presence Presence Extinct Reintroduced Introduced Vagrant  Country Distribution										
distribution limits of this species in southern Turkey is needed. In parts of this species range, mitigation measures to reduce road kill have been established.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog, 1995).  Native - Presence Presence Extinct Reintroduced Introduced Vagrant  Country Distribution  Vagrant										
of this species range, mitigation measures to reduce road kill have been established.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog, 1995).  Native - Presence Presence Extinct Reintroduced Introduced Vagrant  Country Distribution	•	•				ern Europe) this might be leading to				
been established.  Species population information:  While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog, 1995).  Native - Presence Presence Extinct Reintroduced Introduced Vagrant  Country Distribution				local population	n declines.					
While the species is common in suitable habitats in parts of its range, it is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997; Fog, 1995).  Native - Presence Presence Extinct Reintroduced Introduced Vagrant  Country Distribution  Native - Presence Possible		9								
is reported to be fragmented and in significant decline over much of its  Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997;  Fog, 1995).  Native - Presence Presence Extinct Reintroduced Introduced Vagrant  Country Distribution  Native - Presence Presence Possible										
Western European distribution (e.g., Gasc et al., 1997; Baker, 1997;  Fog, 1995).  Native - Presence Presence Confirmed Possible  Extinct Reintroduced Introduced Vagrant										
Presence Country Distribution  Native - Presence Confirmed Possible  Native - Presence Extinct Reintroduced Introduced Vagrant										
Presence Presence Extinct Reintroduced Introduced Vagrant Country Distribution Possible		, •								
Country Distribution Confirmed Possible										
Country Distribution	0			nct Reintroduce	ed Introduced	Vagrant				
Alpania		<u> 211</u>								
	Albania	<b>&gt;</b>								
Armenia 🗸 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 🗎 Austria										

Country Distribution	Native - Presence Confirmed	Native - Presence Possible	Extinct	Reintroduced	Introduced	Vagrant
Albania	<b>✓</b>					
Armenia	<b>✓</b>					
Austria	<b>✓</b>					
Azerbaijan	<b>✓</b>					
Belarus	<b>✓</b>					
Belgium	✓					
Bosnia and Herzegovina	✓					
Bulgaria	✓					
Croatia	✓					
Czech Republic	✓					
Denmark	✓					
France	✓					
Georgia	✓					
Germany	✓					
Greece	✓					
Hungary	✓					
Islamic Republic of Iran		<b>✓</b>				
Italy	✓					

Latvia			<b>✓</b>		
Liechtenstein	✓				
Lithuania	✓				
Luxembourg	✓				
Macedonia, the former Yugoslav Republ	✓				
Moldova	✓				
Netherlands	<b>✓</b>				
Poland	<b>✓</b>				
Portugal	✓				
Romania	✓				
Russian Federation	✓				
Slovakia	✓				
Slovenia	✓				
Spain	✓				
Sweden	✓				
Switzerland	✓				
Turkey	<b>✓</b>				
Ukraine	<b>✓</b>				
United Kingdom		<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	
Serbia and Montenegro	✓				

Native -

Native -

Presence Confirmed Presence Possible

Extinct Reintroduced Introduced

## **FAO Marine Habitats**

## **Major Lakes**

## **Major Rivers**

<u>Upper Level Habitat Preferences</u>	Score	Lower Level Habitat Preferences	Score
1.4 Forest - Temperate	1	Broadleaf Forest	1
3.4 Shrubland - Temperate	1	Conifer Forest	3
3.8 Shrubland - Mediterranean-type Shrubby Vegetation	1	Cool Broadleaf Forest	1
4.4 Grassland - Temperate	1	Cool Forest and Field	1
5.1 Wetlands (inland) - Permanent Rivers/Streams/Creeks (includes waterfalls)	1	Crops and Towns Crops, Grass, Shrubs	2
5.3 Wetlands (inland) - Shrub Dominated Wetlands	1	Deciduous Broadleaf Wood	1
5.4 Wetlands (inland) - Bogs, Marshes, Swamps, Fens, Peatle	ands 1	Evergreen Forest and Fields	1
5.6 Wetlands (inland) - Seasonal/Intermittent Freshwater Lake (over 8ha)	es 1	Fields and Woody Savanna	2
5.7 Wetlands (inland) - Permanent Freshwater Marshes/Pools (under 8ha)	s 1	Forest and Field Mediterranean Scrub	1 1
5.13 Wetlands (inland) - Permanent Inland Deltas	1	Mixed Forest	1
11.1 Artificial/Terrestrial - Arable Land	2	Seasonal Tropical Forest	1
11.2 Artificial/Terrestrial - Pastureland	1	Tropical Degraded Forest	1
11.4 Artificial/Terrestrial - Rural Gardens	2	Tropical Rainforest	1
11.5 Artificial/Terrestrial - Urban Areas	2	Urban	2
12.2 Artificial/Aquatic - Ponds (below 8ha)	1	Wooded Wet Swamp	1
12.3 Artificial/Aquatic - Aquaculture Ponds	2		
12.7 Artificial/Aquatic - Irrigated Land (includes irrigation chann	iels) 1		
12.9 Artificial/Aquatic - Canals and Drainage Channels, Ditches	s 1		
13 Introduced vegetation	2		
Major threats		Conservation Measures	

<u>iviajor</u>	tnreats				Cons	servation weasures		
Code	ode Description of threat		Past Present Future			Conservation measures	In place	Needed
1	Habitat Loss/Degradation (human induced)	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	1	Policy-based actions	✓	
1.1	Agriculture	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	1.2	Legislation	✓	
1.1.1	Crops	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	1.2.1	Development	✓	
1.1.1.2	Small-holder farming	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	1.2.1.1	International level	<b>✓</b>	
1.1.1.3	Agro-industry farming	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	1.2.1.2	2 National level	$\checkmark$	

<ul> <li>1.1.2 Wood plantations</li> <li>1.1.2.1 Small-scale</li> <li>1.1.4 Livestock</li> <li>1.1.4.3 Agro-industry</li> <li>1.3 Extraction</li> <li>1.3.3 Wood</li> <li>1.3.3.3 Clear-cutting</li> <li>1.4 Infrastructure development</li> <li>1.4.1 Industry</li> <li>1.4.2 Human settlement</li> <li>1.4.3 Tourism/recreation</li> <li>2 Invasive alien species (directly affecting the species)</li> <li>2.2 Predators</li> <li>6 Pollution (affecting habitat and/or species)</li> <li>6.3 Water pollution</li> <li>6.3.1 Agriculture</li> <li>6.3.2 Domestic</li> <li>6.3.3 Commercial/Industrial</li> </ul>				1.2.2 1.2.2.1 1.2.2.2	Awarenes Research Taxonomy Population Biology ar Habitat st Threats Uses and Conserva Trends/M Habitat ar Maintenan Restoratio Corridors Protected	tation nal level evel nal level cation ar s actions y n number nd Ecolog atus harvest tion mea onitoring nd site-ba nce/Cons on areas ion of ne ment tent passed act uctions ole use	evels sures ased actions servation w protected areas ions		
Utilisation of Species  Purpose/Type of Use  13. Pets/display animals, horticulture	Sub	sistence		Nationa <b>✓</b>	l Interna		Other purpose:	<b>✓</b>	
Primary forms removed from the wild 1	00% ⁄	>75%		<b>⊻</b> 1-75% ■	26-50%	<25%	Other forms removed	from the wild	d:
Source of specimens in commercial trade 1	<b>⊘</b> 00% <b>⊘</b>	>75%	5	 1-75%	26-50%	<25%	Other source of spec	imens:	
Trend in wild offtake/harvest in relation to total	_			_	_		Unknown		
Trend in offtake/harvest produced through dom CITES: Not listed	esticat	tion/cultiv	vatio	n over la	st five yea	ars:	Unknown		
Red Listing Red List Assessment: Least Concern (LC) Red List Criteria:				F	ossibly Ext	tinct			
unlike				enough	to qualify f	or listing	presumed large population a more threatened cat		use it is
Current Population Trend: Decreasing	D	d Taulden'	. la!!!		of Assessn		12/17/2004	ofice Otalia	امس
Notes on Red listing:  Notes on Red listing:  This species was previous the northern part of Wessyears or three generation	usly list tern Eu	ed as Nea	ar Th globa	reatened	l. As declin decline is b	es in this elieved t	widespread species are o be substantially lower	e largely confin than 30% over	ned to

## **Bibliography**

, 1995, , , Amphibian Populations in the Commonwealth of Independent States: Current Status and Declines, Kuzmin, S.L. Dodd Jr, C.K. and Pikulik, M.M., , , Pensoft, Moscow

Puky, M. et al., 2003, , , Preliminary herpetological atlas of Hungary, , , pp. 86, Varangy Akciócsoport Egyesület, Budapest

Arnold, E.N., 2003, , , Reptiles and amphibians of Europe, , , 288, Princeton University Press,

Vogrin, M., 2002, Amphibians, , Nature in municipality Kidricevo, Vogrin, M., , 99-106, Municipality Kidricevo,

Tarkhnishvili, D.N. and Gokhelashvili, R.K., 1999, The amphibians of the Caucasus, Advances in Amphibian Research in the Former Soviet Union, , , 4, 1-229, ,

Lizana, M. and Marco, A., 2001, Ultraviolet (UV) radiation and the decline of amphibian populations in Central Spain, FrogLog, , , 44, , ,

Dubois, A., 1995, The valid scientific name of the Italian treefrog, with comments on the status of some early scientific names of Amphibia Anura, and on some articles of the Code concerning secondary homonyms, Dumerilia, , , 2, 55-71, ,

Puky, M., 2000, A kétéltűek védelme Magyarországon (Conservation of amphibians in Hungary), , Gerinces állatfajok védelme (Conservation of vertebrate species), Faragó, S., , 143-158, Nyugat-Magyarországi Egyetem Erdőmérnöki Kar, Sopron

Kuzmin, S.L., 1995, , , Die Amphibien Russlands und Angrenzender Gebiete, , , , Westarp – Spektrum, Magdeburg - Heidelberg

Pestov, M. and Anufriev, V., 2001, The Frog Princess and Other Projects, FrogLog, , , 46, , ,

van der Meijden, A., 2003, AmphibiaWeb account for Hyla arborea written by Arie van der Meijden (as of Jan 29, 2003), , AmphibiaWeb, , , , http://elib.cs.berkeley.edu/aw/index.html,

Dely, G., 1967, , , Kétéltűek-Amphibia: Magyarország Állatvilága, Faunae Hungariae, , , , Ákadémiai Kiadó, Budapest

Mlynarski, M., 1966, Plazy I Gady Polski, Panstwowe Zaklady Wydawnictw Szkolnych, Warszawa, , , 75, , ,

Pavignano, I., 1989, Studies on the biology of the tree-frog Hyla arborea during the breeding season in north western Italy (Amphibia, Anura, Hylidae), Alytes, , , 8(1), 17-21, ,

Smit, G., 1998, DAPTF-Netherlands Report, FrogLog, , , 28, , ,

Crespo, E.G., 1973, Sur la position taxonomique des hylides du Portugal (Amphibia, Salientia). Analyse serologique et caracteres metriques, Arquivos do Museo Bocage. 2nd Ser., , , 3, 613-632, ,

Kuzmin, S.L., 1999, , , The Amphibians of the Former Soviet Union, , , , Pensoft, Sofia-Moscow

Lizana, M., Pérez-Mellado, V. and Ciudad, M.J., 1990, Analysis of the structure of an amphibian community in the Central System of Spain, Herpetological Journal, , , 1, 435-446, ,

Arnold, H.R., 1995, Atlas of amphibians and reptiles in Britain, ITE research publication, , , 10, 40, , London, UK

Kyriakopoulou-Sklavounou, P. and Grumiro, I., 2002, Body size and age assessment among breeding populations of the tree frog Hyla arborea in northern Greece, Amphibia-Reptilia, , , 23(2), 219-224, ,

Grossenbacher, K., 1994, Rote Liste der gefährdeten Amphibien der Schweiz, , Rote Liste der gefährdeten Tierarten in der Schweiz, BUWAL, , 33-34, BUWAL (Bundesamt für Umwelt, Wald und Landschaft), Bern

Kalezic, M. and Dzukic, G., 2001, Amphibian status in Serbia and Montenegro (FR Yugoslavia), FrogLog, , , 45, , ,

Godinho, R., Teixeira, J., Rebelo, R., Segurado, P., Loureiro, A., Álvares, F., Gomes, N., Cardoso, P., Camilo-Alves, C. and Brito, J.C., 1999, Atlas of the continental Portuguese herpetofauna: an assemblage of published and new data, Revista Española de Herpetología, , , 13, 61-81, , Kuzmin, S.L., 1996, Threatened amphibians in the former Soviet Union: the current situation and the main threats, Oryx, , , 30, 24-30, ,

, 1997, , , Atlas of Amphibians and Reptiles in Europe, Gasc, J.-P., , 494, Societas Europea Herpetologica & Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris

Reques, R., 2000, , , Anfibios. Ecología y Conservación, , , , Diputación de Córdoba, Córdoba

Mazanaeva, L.F., 2000, The distribution of amphibians in Daghestan, Advances in Amphibian Research in the Former Soviet Union, , , 5, 141-156. .

Vogrin, N., 1997, The Status of Amphibians in Slovenia, FrogLog, , , 20, , ,

Friedl, T.W.P. and Klump, G.M., 1997, Some aspects of population biology in the European Treefrog, Hyla arborea, Herpetologica, , , 53(3), 321-330, ,

Puky, M., 2003, Az újraárasztott Nyirkai Hany - Keleti Mórrétek (Hanság) herpetofaunája (Occurrence of amphibians and reptiles in the Nyirkai Hany Keleti Mórrétek wetland restoration area, Hanság, Hungary in the first year following inundation), Folia Historico Naturalia Musei Matraensis, , , 27, 341-347, ,

Pleguezuelos, J.M., Márquez, R. and Lizana, M., 2002, , , Atlas y Libro Rojo de los Anfibios y Reptiles de España, , , pp 584, Dirección General de la Conservación de la naturaleza-Associación Herpetológica Española, Madrid

Zvirgzds, J., 1998, Treefrog Reintroduction Project in Latvia, FrogLog, , , 27, , ,

Malkmus, R., 2000, Zur Laichplatzwahl und Larvenerkennung von Hyla arborea molleri in Portugal, Zeitschrift für Feldherpetologie, , , 7, 219-221,

Carlsson, A. and Edenhamn, P., 2000, Extinction dynamics and the regional persistence of a tree frog metapopulation, Proceedings of the Royal Society, London, Series B, Biological Sciences, , , 267, 1311-1313, ,

Pleguezuelos, J.M. and Villafranca, C., 1997, Distribución altitudinal de la herpetofauna ibérica, , Distribución y Biogeografia de los Anfibios y Reptiles en España y Portugal, Pleguezuelos, J.M., , pp. 321-34, Monografías de Herpetología 3. Universidad de Granada-Asociación Herpetológica Española, Granada,

Rosa, H.D. and Oliveira, M.E., 1994, Genetic Differentiation of the Iberian Tree Frogs Hyla arborea molleri and Hyla meridionalis (Amphibia, Anura), Zeitschrift Fur Zoologische Systematik und Evolutionsforschung, , , 32(2), 117-128, ,

Ildos, A.S. and Ancona, N., 1994, Analysis of amphibian habitat preferences in a farmland area (Po plain, northern Italy), Amphibia-Reptilia, , , 15(3), 307-316, ,

Puky, M., 2003, Amphibian mitigation measures in Central-Europe, , Proceedings of the International Conference on Ecology and Transportation, 26-31 August, 2003, Lake Placid, New York, USA, Irwin, L.C., Garrett, P. and McDermott, K.P., , 413-429, Center for Transportation and the Environment, North Carolina State University, USA,

Kovács, T. and Papp, M., 2002, Breeding pond survey in Hungary: and example of sucessful cooperation, FrogLog, , , 50, , ,

Baran, I. and Atatür, M.K., 1998, , , Turkish herpetofauna (amphibians and reptiles), , , 214 pp, Republic of Turkey Ministry of Environment, Ankara

Fog, K., 1995, Amphibian conservation in Denmark, FrogLog, , , 13, , ,

Demirsoy, A., 1996, , , Tükiye Omurgalilari, Sürüngenler, , , 205 pp, Meteksen, Ankara

Galán, P., 1999, Conservación de la herpetofauna gallega. Situación actual de los anfibios y reptiles de Galicia, Universidade da Coruña, Monografía 72. A Coruña., , , , 286pp, ,

Edenhamn, P., 1995, European tree frog (Hyla arborea) source pond characteristics, Memoranda Societatis pro Fauna et Flora Fennica, , , 71(3-4), 143, ,

Zvirgzds, J., Stasuls, M. and Vilnitis, V., 1995, Reintroduction of the European Tree Frog (Hyla arborea) in Latvia, Memoranda Societatis pro Fauna et Flora Fennica, , , 71, 139-142, ,

Grossenbacher, K., 1988, Verbreitungsatlas der Amphibien der Schweiz, Documenta faunistica helvetiae, , , 7, 1-207, ,

Joly, P., 1992, The amphibian fauna of the French Upper-Rhône floodplain. The Lavours marsh and Jons sector, Alytes, , , 10(4), 117-129, ,

Brönmark, C. and Edenhamn, P., 1994, Does the presence of fish affect the distribution of tree frogs (Hyla arborea)?, Conservation Biology, , , 8, 841-845.

Pleguezuelos, J.M., 1997, , , Distribucion y Biogeografia de los Anfibios y Reptiles en España y Portugal, , , , Asociacion Herpetologica Española, Las Palmas de Gran Canarias

García-París, M. and Martín, C., 1987, Amphibians of the Sierra del Guadarrama (1800-2430m altitude), , Proceedings. 4th Ordinary General Meeting of the Societas Europaea Herpetologica, van Gelder, J.J., Strijbosch, H. and Bergers, P.J., , 135-138, , Nijmegen, Netherlands Vos, C.C. and Stumpel, A.H.P., 1996, Comparison of habitat-isolation parameters in relation to fragmented distribution patterns in the tree frog (Hyla arborea), Landscape Ecology, , , 11(4), 203-214, ,

Baker, J., 1997, Stability for Swedish treefrogs?, FrogLog, , , 20, 2-3, ,

Nascetti, G., Lanza, B. and Bullini, L., 1995, Genetic data support the specific status of the Italian treefrog (Amphibia: Anura: Hylidae), Amphibia-Reptilia, , , 16(3), 215-227, ,

Bannikov, A.G., Darevsky, I.S., Ishchenko, V.G., Rustamov, A.K. and Szczerbak, N.N., 1977, , , Opredelitel Zemnovodnykh i Presmykayushchikhsya Fauny SSSR [Guide to Amphibians and Reptiles of the USSR Fauna], , , , Prosvechshenie, Moscow Kovács, T., 2002, Monitoring of amphibians and reptiles along the Drava River, FrogLog, , , 52, , ,

Özeti, N. and Yilmaz, I., 1987, On a new form of Bombina bombina from northwest Anatolia, Journal of Faculty of Science Ege University Series B., , , 9(1), , , Izmir

Malkmus, R., 2004, , , Amphibians and reptiles of Portugal, Madeira and the Azores-Archipelago, , , 448p, A.R.G. Gantner Verlag K.G., Ruggel (Germany)